



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| 816838 1/7/78 FUSSI | SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 71.0 | 816838 | 1/7/78 | FUSSI | |

٦

EXAMINER

F, WADDELL

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

125

12/2

| | 123 | 12/2 |
|---|--|---|
| | DATE MAILED: | |
| EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY REC | CORD | • |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | • | |
| (1) F. WADDELL (3) | | . |
| (2) FOLEY (4) | | |
| Date of interview 1/15/88 | - | |
| Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representat | ive). | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes \(\square\) No. If yes, brief description: \(\frac{\beta}{ME} \) | NOMENT AND I | ECLARATION |
| FILED 12/10/87 | | |
| Agreement \square was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. \nearrow was not re | ached. | |
| Claims discussed: CLAIMS OF THE AMENDMENT | AFTER FINAL | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | |
| Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other RELENTLY FILED AMENDMENT AND THE DECISION REVIEWED, THE ATTORNEY REFERRED TO THE WHICH INDICATES THAT THE FRACTIONS (SULFAT AND THOSE OF THE PRIOR ART ARE DIFFERENTHAT CLAIMS DIRECTED TO "TREATING THROM THE CLAIMED SULFATED FRACTIONS AS DESCIBE FURTHER REMOVED FROM THE PRIOR ART SUCH CLAIMS IF THEY ARE PRESENTED: THE ECONTACT THE ATTORNEY WHEN THE CASE COME (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examinattached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available to the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITHOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview dates. | DECLARATION ED) OF THE INSTITUTE EXAMINE BOSIS IN A MAR RIBED IN THE CONTROL EXAMINER ALSO THESE UP FOR ACT THESE OF TO THE LAST TO THE RESPONSE TO THE LAST THE RESPONSE TO THE LAST TO THE RESPONSE TO THE LAST THE RESPONSE TO THE LAST THE RESPONSE TO THE LAST TO THE RESPONSE TO THE LAST THE RESPONSE TO TH | CNEWLY FILE STAWT INVENTI DER INDICATE MMAL "WITH CLAIMS WOULD TO COWSIDER AGREED TO TION; aims allowable must be e attached.) T OFFICE ACTION IS TO). If a response to the |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the intervie | | |
| Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a comrequirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now all response requirements of the last Office action. | | considered to fulfill the |

PTOL-413 (REV. 1-84)

Examiner's Signature